

NEW JERSEY DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

OFFICE OF TITLE I



2015-2016 TITLE I SCHOOLWIDE PLAN*

*This plan is only for Title I schoolwide programs that are ***not*** identified as a Priority or Focus Schools.

SCHOOLWIDE SUMMARY INFORMATION - ESEA§1114

DISTRICT INFORMATION		SCHOOL INFORMATION	
District:	WILLINGBORO PUBLIC SCHOOL	School:	Twin Hills Elementary
Chief School Administrator:	DR. RONALD TAYLOR	Address:	Twin Hills Dr.
Chief School Administrator's E-mail:	rtaylor@wboe.net	Grade Levels:	K-5
Title I Contact:	Teresa Lucas	Principal:	Sonya Nock-Lemons
Title I Contact E-mail:	talucas@wboe.net	Principal's E-mail:	srnock@wboe.net
Title I Contact Phone Number:	609.835.8600	Principal's Phone Number:	609.835.8980

Principal's Certification

The following certification must be made by the principal of the school. Please Note: A signed Principal's Certification must be scanned and included as part of the submission of the Schoolwide Plan.

I certify that I have been included in consultations related to the priority needs of my school and participated in the completion of the Schoolwide Plan. As an active member of the planning committee, I provided input for the school's Comprehensive Needs Assessment and the selection of priority problems. I concur with the information presented herein, including the identification of programs and activities that are funded by Title I, Part A.

Sonya Nock-Lemons
Principal's Name (Print)

Principal's Signature

Date

SCHOOLWIDE SUMMARY INFORMATION - ESEA§1114

Critical Overview Elements

- The School held 12 stakeholder engagement meetings.
- State/local funds to support the school were \$ 2,802,342, which comprised 92% of the school's budget in 2014-2015.
- State/local funds to support the school will be \$ 2,806,490, which will comprise 96% of the school's budget in 2015-2016.
- Title I funded programs/interventions/strategies/activities in 2015-2016 include the following:

Item	Related to Priority Problem #	Related to Reform Strategy	Budget Line Item (s)	Approximate Cost
Extended school day	1, 2, 3	Y	Y	\$ 3,800
ELA PD	1, 2	Y	Y	\$ 27,800
RTI	1, 2, 3	Y	Y	\$ 9,000
Instructional resources	1, 2, 3	Y	Y	\$ 900

SCHOOLWIDE COMPONENT: STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT ESEA §1114(b)(2)(B)(ii)

ESEA §1114(b)(2)(B)(ii): "The comprehensive plan shall be . . . - developed with the involvement of parents and other members of the community to be served and individuals who will carry out such plan, including teachers, principals, and administrators (including administrators of programs described in other parts of this title), and, if appropriate, pupil services personnel, technical assistance providers, school staff, and, if the plan relates to a secondary school, students from such school;"

Stakeholder/Schoolwide Committee

Select committee members to develop the Schoolwide Plan.

Note: For purposes of continuity, some representatives from this Comprehensive Needs Assessment stakeholder committee should be included in the stakeholder/schoolwide planning committee. Identify the stakeholders who participated in the Comprehensive Needs Assessment and/or development of the plan. Signatures should be kept on file in the school office. Print a copy of this page to obtain signatures. **Please Note:** A scanned copy of the Stakeholder Engagement form, with all appropriate signatures, must be included as part of the submission of the Schoolwide Plan.

**Add lines as necessary.*

Name	Stakeholder Group	Participated in Comprehensive Needs Assessment	Participated in Plan Development	Participated in Program Evaluation	Signature
Sonya Nock-Lemons	Administration	Y	Y	Y	
Barbara Coleman	Administration	Y	Y	Y	
Alice Springer	Support Staff	Y	Y	Y	
Lori Field	CST	Y	Y	Y	
Tiffany Purnell	Counseling	Y	Y	Y	
Zetta Brooks	Teachers	Y	Y	Y	
Tamara Boltniew	Teachers	Y	Y	Y	
Kecia Cook	Teachers	Y	Y	Y	
Nicole Gregory	Teachers	Y	Y	Y	
Christina Bookhart	Teachers	Y	Y	Y	
Janine Onyango	Teachers	Y	Y	Y	
Kathy Jones-Alalouf	Spec. Ed Teachers	Y	Y	Y	

SCHOOLWIDE COMPONENT: STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT *ESEA §1114(b)(2)(B)(ii)*

Karen Stroman	Parents	Y	Y	Y	
---------------	---------	---	---	---	--

SCHOOLWIDE COMPONENT: STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT ESEA §1114(b)(2)(B)(ii)

Stakeholder/Schoolwide Committee Meetings

Purpose:

The Stakeholder/Schoolwide Committee organizes and oversees the Comprehensive Needs Assessment process; leads the development of the schoolwide plan; and conducts or oversees the program’s annual evaluation.

Stakeholder/Schoolwide Committee meetings should be held at least quarterly throughout the school year. List below the dates of the meetings during which the Stakeholder/Schoolwide Committee discussed the Comprehensive Needs Assessment, Schoolwide Plan development, and the Program Evaluation. Agenda and minutes of these meetings must be kept on file in the school and, upon request, provided to the NJDOE.

Date	Location	Topic	Agenda on File		Minutes on File	
			Yes	No	Yes	No
	School Library	Schoolwide Program Review	X		X	
	School Library	Schoolwide Program Review	X		X	
	School Library	Schoolwide Program Review	X		X	
	School Library	Schoolwide Program Review	X		X	
	School Library	Schoolwide Program Review	X		X	
	School Library	Schoolwide Program Review	X		X	
	School Library	Schoolwide Program Review	X		X	
	School Library	Schoolwide Program Review	X		X	
	School Library	Schoolwide Program Review	X		X	

SCHOOLWIDE COMPONENT: STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT ESEA §1114(b)(2)(B)(ii)

May 11, 2015	School Library	Comprehensive Needs Assessment	X		X	
May 18, 2015	School Library	Schoolwide Plan Development	X		X	
April 29, 2015	School Library	Program Evaluation	X		X	

**Add rows as necessary.*

School's Mission

A collective vision that reflects the intents and purposes of schoolwide programs will capture the school's response to some or all of these important questions:

- What is our intended purpose?
- What are our expectations for students?
- What are the responsibilities of the adults who work in the school?
- How important are collaborations and partnerships?
- How are we committed to continuous improvement?

SCHOOLWIDE COMPONENT: STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT *ESEA §1114(b)(2)(B)(ii)*

What is the school's mission statement?

The Twin Hills School is dedicated to educating all students by providing a core curriculum along with those enrichment experiences that will fulfill their educational needs and enable them to be knowledgeable, productive individuals

SCHOOLWIDE COMPONENT: EVALUATION ESEA §1114(b)(2)(B)(iii)

24 CFR § 200.26(c): Core Elements of a Schoolwide Program (Evaluation). A school operating a schoolwide program must—(1) Annually evaluate the implementation of, and results achieved by, the schoolwide program, using data from the State's annual assessments and other indicators of academic achievement; (2) Determine whether the schoolwide program has been effective in increasing the achievement of students in meeting the State's academic standards, particularly for those students who had been furthest from achieving the standards; and (3) Revise the plan, as necessary, based on the results of the evaluation, to ensure continuous improvement of students in the schoolwide program.

Evaluation of 2014-2015 Schoolwide Program *

(For schools approved to operate a schoolwide program in 2014-2015, or earlier)

1. Did the school implement the program as planned?
ELA began at the outset of the school year and was implemented as planned. Math was delayed until January and continued as planned from there.
2. What were the strengths of the implementation process?
We were able to service students in primary grades students who needed early reading intervention.
3. What implementation challenges and barriers did the school encounter?
PARCC testing necessitated interruptions to services. Math intervention resources required a reconfiguration of the schedule and completion of that took some time to ensure it was done effectively.
4. What were the apparent strengths and weaknesses of each step during the program(s) implementation?
Strengths included the adaptation of the Reading Support Teacher to also service students with demonstrated reading deficiencies in grades Kindergarten thru 2nd grades. The teacher's knowledge and skills provided individualized interventions for students. Some of the implementation challenges were, students entering school program at grade 1 without adequate foundation reading skills and the reallocation of resources (the support teacher), especially for PARCC testing. How did the school obtain the necessary buy-in from all stakeholders to implement the programs?
5. How did the school obtain the necessary buy-in from all stakeholders to implement the programs?
The staff the pooled for suggestions during the previous year as the plan was being developed and this was their suggestion for intervention.

SCHOOLWIDE COMPONENT: EVALUATION ESEA §1114(b)(2)(B)(iii)

6. What were the perceptions of the staff? What tool(s) did the school use to measure the staff's perceptions?
The staff was very positive about the implementation of their suggestions. This was confirmed through faculty meetings and anecdotal comments. They also helped develop resources and organizing both the ELA and math programs.
7. What were the perceptions of the community? What tool(s) did the school use to measure the community's perceptions?
Parents were receptive to the additional assistance for their children and supported participation. This was determined through permission slips for the services and anecdotal comments throughout the year.
8. What were the methods of delivery for each program (i.e. one-on-one, group session, etc.)?
Small group sessions.
9. How did the school structure the interventions?
Provided during periods of the day that would normally be allocated for specials or recess time. Specials times were rotated through the year so no one special was missed consistently and PE/Health was never missed.
10. How frequently did students receive instructional interventions?
Two to three times weekly for 40 minutes each time.
11. What technologies did the school use to support the program?
READ 180, Systems 44 software
12. Did the technology contribute to the success of the program and, if so, how?
Yes, intimately, as the main instructional and assessment tool for the program.

****Provide a separate response for each question.***

SCHOOLWIDE COMPONENT: EVALUATION ESEA §1114(b)(2)(B)(iii)

Evaluation of 2014-2015 Student Performance

State Assessments-Partially Proficient

Provide the number of students at each grade level listed below who scored partially proficient on state assessments for two years or more in English Language Arts and Mathematics, and the interventions the students received.

English Language Arts	2013-2014	2014-2015	Interventions Provided	Describe why the interventions <i>did or did not</i> result in proficiency (Be specific for each intervention).
Grade 4	12	10	After school tutoring Reading Academic Supplemental Support Program	The RASS program was effective, more than the after school tutoring program as measured by the Fountas & Pinnell assessment tool.
Grade 5	15	14	After school tutoring Reading Academic Supplemental Support Program	The RASS program was effective, more than the after school tutoring program as measured by the Fountas & Pinnell assessment tool.
Grade 6	NA	NA	NA	NA
Grade 7	NA	NA	NA	NA
Grade 8	NA	NA	NA	NA
Grade 11	NA	NA	NA	NA
Grade 12	NA	NA	NA	NA

Mathematics	2013-2014	2014-2015	Interventions Provided	Describe why the interventions <i>did or did not</i> result in proficiency (Be specific for each intervention).
Grade 4	13	9	After school tutoring	MAP data indicated the program was not effective due to response, attendance, and staffing issues.
Grade 5	7	21	After school tutoring	MAP data indicated the program was not effective due to response, attendance, and staffing issues.
Grade 6	NA	NA	NA	NA
Grade 7	NA	NA	NA	NA
Grade 8	NA	NA	NA	NA

SCHOOLWIDE COMPONENT: EVALUATION ESEA §1114(b)(2)(B)(iii)

Grade 11	NA	NA	NA	NA
Grade 12	NA	NA	NA	NA

**Evaluation of 2014-2015 Student Performance
Non-Tested Grades – Alternative Assessments (Below Level)**

Provide the number of students at each non-tested grade level listed below who performed below level on a standardized and/or developmentally appropriate assessment, and the interventions the students received.

English Language Arts	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015	Interventions Provided	Describe why the interventions <i>did or did not</i> result in proficiency (Be specific for each intervention).
Pre-Kindergarten	NA	NA	NA	NA
Kindergarten	NA	NA	NA	NA
Grade 1	4	3	After school tutoring Reading Academic Supplemental Support Program	MAP data indicated the program was not effective due to response, attendance, and staffing issues.
Grade 2	8	11	After school tutoring Reading Academic Supplemental Support Program	MAP data indicated the program was not effective due to response, attendance, and staffing issues.
Grade 9	NA	NA	NA	NA
Grade 10	NA	NA	NA	NA

Mathematics	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015	Interventions Provided	Describe why the interventions provided <i>did or did not</i> result in proficiency (Be specific for each intervention).
Pre-Kindergarten	NA	NA	NA	NA
Kindergarten	NA	NA	NA	NA
Grade 1	5	6	After school tutoring	MAP data indicated the program was not effective due to response, attendance, and staffing issues.
Grade 2	5	6	After school tutoring	MAP data indicated the program was not effective due to response, attendance, and staffing issues.

SCHOOLWIDE COMPONENT: EVALUATION *ESEA §1114(b)(2)(B)(iii)*

Grade 9	NA	NA	NA	NA
Grade 10	NA	NA	NA	NA

SCHOOLWIDE COMPONENT: EVALUATION ESEA §1114(b)(2)(B)(iii)

Evaluation of 2014-2015 Interventions and Strategies

Interventions to Increase Student Achievement – Implemented in 2014-2015

1 Content	2 Group	3 Intervention	4 Effective Yes-No	5 Documentation of Effectiveness	6 Measurable Outcomes (Outcomes must be quantifiable)
ELA	Students with Disabilities	Included in information below			
Math	Students with Disabilities	Included in information below			
ELA	Homeless	Included in information below			
Math	Homeless	Included in information below			
ELA	Migrant	NA			
Math	Migrant	NA			
ELA	ELLs	NA			
Math	ELLs	NA			
ELA	Economically Disadvantaged	Included in information below			
Math	Economically Disadvantaged	Included in information below			

SCHOOLWIDE COMPONENT: EVALUATION ESEA §1114(b)(2)(B)(iii)

1 Content	2 Group	3 Intervention	4 Effective Yes-No	5 Documentation of Effectiveness	6 Measurable Outcomes (Outcomes must be quantifiable)
ELA	All students	Reading Academic Supplemental Support Program	Yes	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. READ 180 Lexile scores 2. Systems 44 lexile scores 3. F&P levels 4. MAP RIT score 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Average growth was above 100 points on average (204) 2. Average growth was above 70 lexile points (186) 3. 93% of all students grew at least one F&P grade level in Grades 1 and 2. Grades 3 to 5 did not have a baseline test. At the end of the year, 53% of these students were on grade level. This compares to 75% of all students that are on grade level. 4. 11.63 MAP RIT growth averaged
Math	All students	Math Academic Supplemental Support Program	No	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. MAP RIT score 2. Unit Assessment results 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. 8.67 MAP RIT growth averaged 2. 7% of the students in the program averaged 80% on the unit assessments

SCHOOLWIDE COMPONENT: EVALUATION ESEA §1114(b)(2)(B)(iii)

Extended Day/Year Interventions – Implemented in 2014-2015 to Address Academic Deficiencies

1 Content	2 Group	3 Intervention	4 Effective Yes-No	5 Documentation of Effectiveness	6 Measurable Outcomes (Outcomes must be quantifiable)
ELA	Students with Disabilities	Included in information below			
Math	Students with Disabilities	Included in information below			
ELA	Homeless	Included in information below			
Math	Homeless	Included in information below			
ELA	Migrant	NA			
Math	Migrant	NA			
ELA	ELLs	NA			
Math	ELLs	NA			
ELA	Economically Disadvantaged	Included in information below			
Math	Economically Disadvantaged	Included in information below			
ELA	All students	Before/After School Tutoring Program		Unit assessment scores	16% of the students in the program averaged 80% on the unit assessments
Math	All students	Before/After School Tutoring Program		Unit assessment scores	24% of the students in the program averaged 80% on the unit assessments

Evaluation of 2014-2015 Interventions and Strategies

SCHOOLWIDE COMPONENT: EVALUATION ESEA §1114(b)(2)(B)(iii)

Professional Development – Implemented in 2014-2015

1 Content	2 Group	3 Intervention	4 Effective Yes-No	5 Documentation of Effectiveness	6 Measurable Outcomes (Outcomes must be quantifiable)
ELA	Students with Disabilities	Included in information below			
Math	Students with Disabilities	Included in information below			
ELA	Homeless	Included in information below			
Math	Homeless	Included in information below			
ELA	Migrant	NA			
Math	Migrant	NA			
ELA	ELLs	NA			
Math	ELLs	NA			
ELA	Economically Disadvantaged	Included in information below			
Math	Economically Disadvantaged	Included in information below			
ELA		Daily Five	Y	1. Lesson Plans 2. Walkthroughs	1. 57% of plans included Daily 5 instruction 2. 47% of walkthroughs demonstrated Daily 5 implementation
		Guided Reading	Y	1. Lesson Plans 2. Walkthroughs	1. 57% of plans included Daily 5 instruction 2. 47% of walkthroughs demonstrated Daily 5 implementation
Math		Teacher Professional Learning Communities- Math Strategies		Staff survey	Survey demonstrated 50% of respondents agree math strategies were successfully supported by Professional Learning

SCHOOLWIDE COMPONENT: EVALUATION ESEA §1114(b)(2)(B)(iii)

1 Content	2 Group	3 Intervention	4 Effective Yes-No	5 Documentation of Effectiveness	6 Measurable Outcomes (Outcomes must be quantifiable)
					Communities.

SCHOOLWIDE COMPONENT: EVALUATION ESEA §1114(b)(2)(B)(iii)

Family and Community Engagement Implemented in 2014-2015

1 Content	2 Group	3 Intervention	4 Effective Yes-No	5 Documentation of Effectiveness	6 Measurable Outcomes (Outcomes must be quantifiable)
ELA	Students with Disabilities	Included in information below			
Math	Students with Disabilities	Included in information below			
ELA	Homeless	Included in information below			
Math	Homeless	Included in information below			
ELA	Migrant	NA			
Math	Migrant	NA			
ELA	ELLs	NA			
Math	ELLs	NA			
ELA	Economically Disadvantaged	Included in information below			
Math	Economically Disadvantaged	Included in information below			
ELA	All students	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Pre-K and K Orientation 2. Back-to-School Night 3. Parent Conferences Compact/Parental Involvement Policy 4. Parent Data Meetings w/ 	No	Maintain a minimum of 80% and increase parent attendance percentages by 15% if the current minimum is below 50%.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Pre-K and K Orientation 2. 71% attendance 3. 64% attendance 4. 8% attendance. 5. 10% attendance

SCHOOLWIDE COMPONENT: EVALUATION ESEA §1114(b)(2)(B)(iii)

1 Content	2 Group	3 Intervention	4 Effective Yes-No	5 Documentation of Effectiveness	6 Measurable Outcomes (Outcomes must be quantifiable)
		Admin. 5. Parents Workshop to introduce the NJASK and assist students at home.			
Math	All students	1. Pre-K and K Orientation 2. Back-to-School Night 3. Math Family Night 4. Parent Conferences Compact/Parental Involvement Policy 5. Parent Data Meetings w/ Admin. 6. Parents Workshop to introduce the NJASK and assist students at home.		Maintain a minimum of 80% and increase parent attendance percentages by 15% if the current minimum is below 50%.	1. Pre-K and K Orientation 2. 71% attendance 3. 64% attendance 4. 8% attendance. 5. 10% attendance

SCHOOLWIDE COMPONENT: EVALUATION ESEA §1114(b)(2)(B)(iii)

Principal's Certification

The following certification must be completed by the principal of the school. Please Note: Signatures must be kept on file at the school. A scanned copy of the Evaluation form, with all appropriate signatures, must be included as part of the submission of the Schoolwide Plan.

I certify that the school's stakeholder/schoolwide committee conducted and completed the required Title I schoolwide evaluation as required for the completion of this Title I Schoolwide Plan. Per this evaluation, I concur with the information herein, including the identification of all programs and activities that were funded by Title I, Part A.

Principal's Name (Print)

Principal's Signature

Date

SCHOOLWIDE COMPONENT: Reform Strategies ESEA §(b)(1)(B)(i-iii)

ESEA §1114(b)(1)(A): "A comprehensive needs assessment of the entire school [including taking into account the needs of migratory children as defined in §1309(2)] that is based on information which includes the achievement of children in relation to the State academic content standards and the State student academic achievement standards described in §1111(b)(1)."

2015-2016 Comprehensive Needs Assessment Process Data Collection and Analysis

Multiple Measures Analyzed by the School in the Comprehensive Needs Assessment Process for 2015-2016

Areas	Multiple Measures Analyzed	Overall Measurable Results and Outcomes (Results and outcomes must be quantifiable)
Academic Achievement – Reading	MAP Unit Assessments Fountas & Pinnell	Students, on average, scored 66% correct on all unit assessments
Academic Achievement - Writing	Unit Assessments	Students, on average, scored 1.4 on a 4 point rubric
Academic Achievement - Mathematics	MAP Unit Assessments	Students, on average, scored 70% correct on all unit assessments
Family and Community Engagement	Attendance Sign-in sheets	Open House events and parent conferences averaged 82% of all students represented. Academic based events and PTA meetings averaged 14 people in attendance.
Professional Development	Unit assessments Staff survey Lesson planning	Students scored on average 66% (ELA) and 70% (Math) on unit assessments.
Leadership	Implementation of PD strategies Walkthrough outcomes	PD strategies and walkthroughs were implemented effectively.
School Climate and Culture	Parent, student, staff surveys	Parent surveys averaged 84% positive response rate to individual prompts. Staff surveys averages 53% positive responses. Student recognition programs are well received.
School-Based Youth Services	NA	
Students with Disabilities	Same as above	
Homeless Students	NA	
Migrant Students	NA	
English Language Learners	NA	
Economically Disadvantaged	Same as above	

SCHOOLWIDE COMPONENT: Reform Strategies ESEA §(b)(1)(B)(i-iii)

2015-2016 Comprehensive Needs Assessment Process*

Narrative

1. What process did the school use to conduct its Comprehensive Needs Assessment?
A survey of all staff, a review of student achievement data, and discussion by the Schoolwide Committee regarding both.
2. What process did the school use to collect and compile data for student subgroups?
Subgroups were identified in specific data elements and the committee saw their data was commensurate with general student results.
3. How does the school ensure that the data used in the Comprehensive Needs Assessment process are valid (measures what it is designed to measure) and reliable (yields consistent results)?
The school uses state and nationally standardized assessment instruments.
4. What did the data analysis reveal regarding classroom instruction?
There is still much work to do to ensure all students learn at their individual level and are instructed with best practices in all classrooms of the school.
5. What did the data analysis reveal regarding professional development implemented in the previous year(s)?
Those teachers who participated in the professional development provided through Title I funds improved their skills and frequency of providing small group, differentiated instruction and their ability to make decisions based on data.
6. How does the school identify educationally at-risk students in a timely manner?
The school expanded our RTI model this past year to include Grades K, 1 and 2.
7. How does the school provide effective interventions to educationally at-risk students?
This past year, the school expanded the after school tutoring program to include Grades K-1, but it wasn't as effective as needed because of the number of students who chose to attend and the frequency with which instruction was provided. The school also implemented the Reading and Math Supplemental Support Programs during the school day that obtained positive results.

SCHOOLWIDE COMPONENT: Reform Strategies ESEA §(b)(1)(B)(i-iii)

8. How does the school address the needs of migrant students?
NA
9. How does the school address the needs of homeless students?
Individual attention when a homeless student is identified.
10. How does the school engage its teachers in decisions regarding the use of academic assessments to provide information on and improve the instructional program?
Teachers are involved after each unit assessment with a data review meeting to analyze the data and make decisions regarding remedial and proactive learning activities to address the achievement deficiencies identified in the unit assessment data.
11. How does the school help students transition from preschool to kindergarten, elementary to middle school, and/or middle to high school?
The pre-school program is housed in the K-5 schools and is a regular part of articulation and transitioning throughout the school year. There is also a Pre-K to K orientation for students and parents.
12. How did the school select the priority problems and root causes for the 2015-2016 schoolwide plan?
The choices were made by the Schoolwide Committee after looking at the student achievement, Teacher effectiveness, and school climate data collected through the year.

****Provide a separate response for each question.***

SCHOOLWIDE COMPONENT: Reform Strategies ESEA §(b)(1)(B)(i-iii)

2015-2016 Comprehensive Needs Assessment Process *Description of Priority Problems and Interventions to Address Them*

Based upon the school’s needs assessment, select at least three (3) priority problems that will be addressed in this plan. Complete the information below for each priority problem.

	#1	#2
Name of priority problem	Student Achievement in Reading	Student Achievement in Writing
Describe the priority problem using at least two data sources	Student achievement data in MAP and unit assessments, as illustrated above, indicate a deficiency in reading skills.	Student achievement data in unit assessments as illustrated above, and the lack of a cohesive writing program articulated throughout the district indicate a deficiency in writing skills.
Describe the root causes of the problem	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No early intervention program provided for struggling students. • Not enough differentiated instruction occurs in the class to truly meet students where they are academically. • More professional development is needed assist teachers with learning strategies to support struggling and advanced students. • Classroom libraries need to be expanded, specifically lower level books, to provide students with a broad range of reading materials. • Identify ways to motivate unmotivated students. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There is not a comprehensive writing curriculum provided by the district. • Teachers need additional professional development that helps them effectively teach writing. • Identify ways to motivate unmotivated students.
Subgroups or populations addressed	All students	All students
Related content area missed (i.e., ELA, Mathematics)	English Language Arts	English Language Arts
Name of scientifically research based intervention to address priority problems	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Balanced Literacy • Before and After School Tutoring • Web based Instructional Learning System • Guided Reading • Curriculum Revision • Response to Intervention • Lesson planning 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Writer’s Workshop • Aligning practice to common core standards • Before and After School Tutoring • Curriculum Development • Response to Intervention • Lesson planning • Teacher evaluation and conferencing

SCHOOLWIDE COMPONENT: Reform Strategies ESEA §(b)(1)(B)(i-iii)

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Teacher evaluation and conferencing • Data analysis to implement RTI 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Data analysis to implement RTI
How does the intervention align with the Common Core State Standards?	Instruction will align with the state’s Model Curriculum and PARCC Frameworks.	Instruction will align with the state’s Model Curriculum and PARCC Frameworks.

SCHOOLWIDE COMPONENT: Reform Strategies ESEA §(b)(1)(B)(i-iii)

2015-2016 Comprehensive Needs Assessment Process
Description of Priority Problems and Interventions to Address Them (continued)

	#3	#4
Name of priority problem	Student Achievement in Mathematics	
Describe the priority problem using at least two data sources	Student achievement data in i-Ready and unit assessments, as illustrated above, indicate a deficiency in reading skills.	
Describe the root causes of the problem	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Students are not using best mathematical practices, have weak problem solving skills, and have deficiencies in mathematical terminology and using a math skills toolbox for solutions. 	
Subgroups or populations addressed	All students	
Related content area missed (i.e., ELA, Mathematics)	Mathematics	
Name of scientifically research based intervention to address priority problems	Professional development in: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Teaching problem solving Implementing math terms Common Core Mathematical Practices Before and After School Tutoring Student motivation practices Response to Intervention Instructional Learning System Lesson planning Teacher evaluation and conferencing Data analysis to implement RTI 	
How does the intervention align with the Common Core State Standards?	Instruction will align with the state’s Model Curriculum and PARCC Frameworks.	

SCHOOLWIDE COMPONENT: Reform Strategies ESEA §(b)(1)(B)(i-iii)

ESEA §1114(b) Components of a Schoolwide Program: A schoolwide program shall include . . . schoolwide reform strategies that . . . “

2015-2016 Interventions to Address Student Achievement

<i>ESEA §1114(b)(1)(B) strengthen the core academic program in the school;</i>					
Content Area Focus	Target Population(s)	Name of Intervention	Person Responsible	Indicators of Success (Measurable Evaluation Outcomes)	Research Supporting Intervention (i.e., IES Practice Guide or What Works Clearinghouse)
ELA	Students with Disabilities	Same as listed in the All Students section			
Math	Students with Disabilities	Same as listed in the All Students section			
ELA	Homeless	Same as listed in the All Students section			
Math	Homeless	Same as listed in the All Students section			
ELA	Migrant	NA			
Math	Migrant	NA			
ELA	ELLs	NA			
Math	ELLs	NA			
ELA	Economically Disadvantaged	Same as listed in the All Students section			
Math	Economically Disadvantaged	Same as listed in the All Students section			
ELA	All Students	*Guided Reading/ Daily Five	ELA Professional Development Specialist	Schoolwide reading gains will average 75% of a full year’s reading growth when comparing the September and April reading assessment for students who attend school 90% or more of the instructional days.	Using Guided Reading to Develop Student Reading.

SCHOOLWIDE COMPONENT: Reform Strategies ESEA §(b)(1)(B)(i-iii)

<i>ESEA §1114(b)(1)(B) strengthen the core academic program in the school;</i>					
Content Area Focus	Target Population(s)	Name of Intervention	Person Responsible	Indicators of Success (Measurable Evaluation Outcomes)	Research Supporting Intervention (i.e., IES Practice Guide or What Works Clearinghouse)
ELA	All Students	*Writer's Workshop	ELA Professional Development Specialist	Schoolwide writing gains will average a 0.4 gain when comparing the September and April unit assessment 4 point writing rubric for students who attend school 90% or more of the instructional days.	Writer's Workshop - Teaching That Makes Sense
ELA	All Students	*Integration sessions for GR/WW programs	Principal	Unit assessment scores, on average, will increase by 10% over the 2014-2015 school year	Why Mapping Has Become a Core Process in Best Practice
ELA & Math	All Students	*Data Reflective Lesson Planning	Principal	By March 2016, all non-assessment lesson plans in the two weeks following a unit assessment will reflect small group, flexible grouping strategies to differentiate instruction to remediate skills deficiencies.	Guide To Using Data in School Improvement Efforts
ELA & Math	All Students	*Digital Instructional Learning System	Principal	Growth target percentage attainment will average, across the school, 80% for students who attend school 90% or more of the instructional days.	Interactive Online Learning on Campus: Testing MOOCs and Other Platforms in Hybrid Formats in the U

**Use an asterisk to denote new programs.*

SCHOOLWIDE COMPONENT: Reform Strategies ESEA §(b)(1)(B)(i-iii)

2015-2016 Extended Learning Time and Extended Day/Year Interventions to Address Student Achievement

ESEA §1114(b)(1)(B) increase the amount and quality of learning time, such as providing an extended school year and before- and after-school and summer programs and opportunities, and help provide an enriched and accelerated curriculum;

Content Area Focus	Target Population(s)	Name of Intervention	Person Responsible	Indicators of Success (Measurable Evaluation Outcomes)	Research Supporting Intervention (i.e., IES Practice Guide or What Works Clearinghouse)
ELA	Students with Disabilities	Same as listed in the All Students section			
Math	Students with Disabilities	Same as listed in the All Students section			
ELA	Homeless	Same as listed in the All Students section			
Math	Homeless	Same as listed in the All Students section			
ELA	Migrant	NA			
Math	Migrant	NA			
ELA	ELLs	NA			
Math	ELLs	NA			
ELA	Economically Disadvantaged	Same as listed in the All Students section			
Math	Economically Disadvantaged	Same as listed in the All Students section			
ELA & Math	All students	*RTI - Intervention time	Principal	On average, students who attend school for 90% or more of the instructional days during their intervention sessions will demonstrate 100 points of lexile growth by April in the intervention system they are using.	What new research on extended school day says

SCHOOLWIDE COMPONENT: Reform Strategies ESEA §(b)(1)(B)(i-iii)

ESEA §1114(b)(1)(B) increase the amount and quality of learning time, such as providing an extended school year and before- and after-school and summer programs and opportunities, and help provide an enriched and accelerated curriculum;

Content Area Focus	Target Population(s)	Name of Intervention	Person Responsible	Indicators of Success (Measurable Evaluation Outcomes)	Research Supporting Intervention (i.e., IES Practice Guide or What Works Clearinghouse)
ELA & Math	All students	After school tutoring	Principal	On average, students who attend 80% or more of their after school tutoring sessions will demonstrate 70% proficiency when comparing the pre- and post-tests on their deficient skills	What new research on extended school day says

****Use an asterisk to denote new programs.***

SCHOOLWIDE COMPONENT: Reform Strategies ESEA §(b)(1)(B)(i-iii)

2015-2016 Professional Development to Address Student Achievement and Priority Problems

ESEA §1114 (b)(1)(D) In accordance with section 1119 and subsection (a)(4), high-quality and ongoing professional development for teachers, principals, and paraprofessionals and, if appropriate, pupil services personnel, parents, and other staff to enable all children in the school to meet the State's student academic achievement standards.

Content Area Focus	Target Population(s)	Name of Strategy	Person Responsible	Indicators of Success (Measurable Evaluation Outcomes)	Research Supporting Strategy (i.e., IES Practice Guide or What Works Clearinghouse)
ELA	Students with Disabilities	Same as listed in the All Students section			
Math	Students with Disabilities	Same as listed in the All Students section			
ELA	Homeless	Same as listed in the All Students section			
Math	Homeless	Same as listed in the All Students section			
ELA	Migrant	NA			
Math	Migrant	NA			
ELA	ELLs	NA			
Math	ELLs	NA			
ELA	Economically Disadvantaged	Same as listed in the All Students section			
Math	Economically Disadvantaged	Same as listed in the All Students section			
ELA	Teachers & Administrators	*Guided Reading Summer Training	ELA Professional Development Specialist	On average all teachers in ELA classes are using Guided Reading strategies during some portion of 80% of classes in September and October	Using Guided Reading to Develop Student Reading.

SCHOOLWIDE COMPONENT: Reform Strategies ESEA §(b)(1)(B)(i-iii)

ESEA §1114 (b)(1)(D) In accordance with section 1119 and subsection (a)(4), high-quality and ongoing professional development for teachers, principals, and paraprofessionals and, if appropriate, pupil services personnel, parents, and other staff to enable all children in the school to meet the State's student academic achievement standards.

Content Area Focus	Target Population(s)	Name of Strategy	Person Responsible	Indicators of Success (Measurable Evaluation Outcomes)	Research Supporting Strategy (i.e., IES Practice Guide or What Works Clearinghouse)
ELA	Teachers & Administrators	*Guided Reading, Balanced Literacy, Writer's Workshop ongoing embedded support	ELA Professional Development Specialist	On average, all teachers in ELA classes are using Guided Reading and Writer's Workshop strategies during some portion of 90% of ELA classes in January through April.	Using Guided Reading to Develop Student Reading. Writer's Workshop - Teaching That Makes Sense
ELA	Teachers & Administrators	*Ongoing training for reading assessment, Grades 1-5	ELA Professional Development Specialist	100% of ELA teachers will conduct reading level assessments of all of their students by October 15 and again by May 30.	Reading Assessment Checklist – Behaviors to Notice
ELA	Teachers & Administrators	*Writer's Workshop Summer Training	ELA Professional Development Specialist	On average, all teachers in ELA classes are using Writing Workshop strategies during some portion of 60% of classes in September and October.	Writer's Workshop - Teaching That Makes Sense
ELA & Math	Teachers & Administrators	Data analysis ongoing embedded support	Principal	90% of teachers are grouping students based on ELA unit assessment data in ELA classes for the two weeks following each unit assessment.	Guide To Using Data in School Improvement Efforts
ELA & Math	Administrators	*Lesson plan analysis conferencing, and responsive action ongoing training and embedded support	Principal	4 lesson plan analysis sessions occur (one after each unit assessment) The number of teachers planning and implementing effective small groups based on data increases when comparing April to October analysis.	When Lesson Plans Fail - Tips To Change Lesson Plans
ELA & Math	Administrators	Evaluation recognition and responsive action ongoing training and embedded support	Principal	16 sessions of collaborative walkthroughs will occur between September and May. Suggestions provided to teachers resulting from evaluations are implemented effectively 70% of the time.	Walkthroughs, Rubrics, and Teacher Evaluation

SCHOOLWIDE COMPONENT: Reform Strategies ESEA §(b)(1)(B)(i-iii)

ESEA §1114 (b)(1)(D) In accordance with section 1119 and subsection (a)(4), high-quality and ongoing professional development for teachers, principals, and paraprofessionals and, if appropriate, pupil services personnel, parents, and other staff to enable all children in the school to meet the State's student academic achievement standards.

Content Area Focus	Target Population(s)	Name of Strategy	Person Responsible	Indicators of Success (Measurable Evaluation Outcomes)	Research Supporting Strategy (i.e., IES Practice Guide or What Works Clearinghouse)
ELA & Math	Teachers	*Ongoing training for digital instructional learning system implementation and results analysis	Subject area Professional Development Specialist	Teachers in ELA and math classes will use an instructional learning system for a portion of 80% of their classes as recorded in walkthroughs and evaluations	Interactive Online Learning on Campus: Testing MOOCs and Other Platforms in Hybrid Formats in the U
ELA & Math	Teachers	Differentiated instruction embedded ongoing support	Principal	60% of walkthroughs and evaluations will exhibit instruction at different levels within a classroom.	Differentiated instruction, curriculum, assessment
ELA & Math	Teachers	Small group, flexible grouping embedded ongoing support	Principal	60% of walkthroughs and evaluations will exhibit small group instruction	Differentiation Through Flexible Grouping - Learning Point ...

****Use an asterisk to denote new programs.***

SCHOOLWIDE COMPONENT: Reform Strategies ESEA §(b)(1)(B)(i-iii)

24 CFR § 200.26(c): Core Elements of a Schoolwide Program (Evaluation). A school operating a schoolwide program must—(1) Annually evaluate the implementation of, and results achieved by, the schoolwide program, using data from the State's annual assessments and other indicators of academic achievement; (2) Determine whether the schoolwide program has been effective in increasing the achievement of students in meeting the State's academic standards, particularly for those students who had been furthest from achieving the standards; and (3) Revise the plan, as necessary, based on the results of the evaluation, to ensure continuous improvement of students in the schoolwide program.

Evaluation of Schoolwide Program*

(For schools approved to operate a schoolwide program beginning in the 2015-2016 school year)

All Title I schoolwide programs must conduct an annual evaluation to determine if the strategies in the schoolwide plan are achieving the planned outcomes and contributing to student achievement. Schools must evaluate the implementation of their schoolwide program and the outcomes of their schoolwide program.

1. Who will be responsible for evaluating the schoolwide program for 2015-2016? Will the review be conducted internally (by school staff), or externally? How frequently will evaluation take place?
The School Leadership Committee will evaluate the program, meeting at least once every two months.
2. What barriers or challenges does the school anticipate during the implementation process?
Teacher acceptance of new paradigms.
Time for professional development.
Implementation rates of teachers using new strategies and models.
3. How will the school obtain the necessary buy-in from all stakeholders to implement the program(s)?
The value of each initiative will be shared with staff while pace and strategies for implementation will be developed together with staff. Progress will be continuously monitored and slowing the pace, speeding the pace, or re-teaching key elements for each initiative will be undertaken as needed.
4. What measurement tool(s) will the school use to gauge the perceptions of the staff?
Anecdotal evidence will be collected between survey opportunities.
5. What measurement tool(s) will the school use to gauge the perceptions of the community?
An on-line survey will be developed to measure the understanding of, perceptions of, and impact on the community.

SCHOOLWIDE COMPONENT: Reform Strategies ESEA §(b)(1)(B)(i-iii)

6. How will the school structure interventions?
Some, as indicated, will be provided after school in the time frames listed in the Reform Strategies tables. Others will be implemented during classroom instruction while still others will be provided during the RTI-Intervention sessions. PD will be provided during district PD days, during grade level meetings, and during faculty meetings.
7. How frequently will students receive instructional interventions?
Students will receive some interventions in the time frames listed in the Reform Strategies table. Others will be implemented every school day.
8. What resources/technologies will the school use to support the schoolwide program?
Textbooks, the Model Curriculum, the Common Core Standards, classroom computers, Smart Boards, Chromebooks, and iPads will all be used to support the program.
9. What quantitative data will the school use to measure the effectiveness of each intervention provided?
The quantitative data to be used to measure the effectiveness of the interventions are identified in the Reform Strategies table for each intervention.
10. How will the school disseminate the results of the schoolwide program evaluation to its stakeholder groups?
The program evaluation will be disseminated to staff during Faculty Meetings. It will be disseminated to parents during Parent Involvement events and on the school website.

****Provide a separate response for each question.***

SCHOOLWIDE COMPONENT: FAMILY AND COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT ESEA §1114 (b)(1)(F)

ESEA §1114 (b)(1)(F) Strategies to increase parental involvement in accordance with §1118, such as family literacy services

Research continues to show that successful schools have significant and sustained levels of family and community engagement. As a result, schoolwide plans must contain strategies to involve families and the community, especially in helping children do well in school. In addition, families and the community must be involved in the planning, implementation, and evaluation of the schoolwide program.

2015-2016 Family and Community Engagement Strategies to Address Student Achievement and Priority Problems

Content Area Focus	Target Population(s)	Name of Strategy	Person Responsible	Indicators of Success (Measurable Evaluation Outcomes)	Research Supporting Strategy (i.e., IES Practice Guide or What Works Clearinghouse)
ELA/Math	Students with Disabilities	*District-wide coordinated parent meeting, paired with a student activity, on services for students with disabilities	District Family Liaison	Attendees will respond correctly, in a post-program survey, to 80% of the questions based on the content of the program.	Communication to stakeholders is always valuable.
ELA	Homeless	Same as for other populations			
Math	Homeless	Same as for other populations			
ELA	Migrant	NA			
Math	Migrant	NA			
ELA/Math	ELLs	*District-wide coordinated, paired with a student activity, on ELL services	District Family Liaison	Attendees will respond correctly, in a post-program survey, to 80% of the questions based on the content of the program.	Communication to stakeholders is always valuable.
ELA	Economically Disadvantaged	Same as for other populations			
Math	Economically Disadvantaged	Same as for other populations			
ELA/Math	All Students	*Parent Survey on Academics	District Family Liaison	Responses are used to inform further action during the	

SCHOOLWIDE COMPONENT: FAMILY AND COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT ESEA §1114 (b)(1)(F)

Content Area Focus	Target Population(s)	Name of Strategy	Person Responsible	Indicators of Success (Measurable Evaluation Outcomes)	Research Supporting Strategy (i.e., IES Practice Guide or What Works Clearinghouse)
				school.year.	
All	All students	All events will be communicated at least three weeks in advance to all parents and to the community through: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Website posting • Email alerts • Telephone “robo” calls • Building paper posting • Press Releases in newspapers and cable • Flyers home to parents • Community marquee posting 	District Family Liaison	Each event is communicated through each of the methods listed.	Communication to stakeholders is always valuable.
ELA/Math	All Students	*Community Service Projects	Principal	Each grade level will participate in a community service activity of some type.	Establishing a community service culture leads to improved citizenship by all students.
ELA/Math	All Students	*5 Parent Academy Sessions: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • *District-wide coordinated, paired with a student activity, on relevant topics: • Medical concerns, • Instructional support, • District program overviews 	District Family Liaison	Attendees will respond correctly, in a post-program survey, to 80% of the questions based on the content of the program.	Providing information specific to student populations to their parents is always an effective way to inform them.
ELA/Math	All Students	*District coordinated community Activities in the school: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Read Across America • Career Day • International Day • Bookmates Program • District/County Science Fair 	District Family Liaison	30 parents participate in each event.	Providing information specific to student populations to their parents is always an effective way to inform them.
ELA/Math	All Students	Back to School Nights	Principal	75% of students are represented by parents in attendance	Open Houses for parents is a standard and well known practice

SCHOOLWIDE COMPONENT: FAMILY AND COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT ESEA §1114 (b)(1)(F)

Content Area Focus	Target Population(s)	Name of Strategy	Person Responsible	Indicators of Success (Measurable Evaluation Outcomes)	Research Supporting Strategy (i.e., IES Practice Guide or What Works Clearinghouse)
ELA/Math	All Students	Parent Conferences	Principal	85% of students are represented by participating parents.	Communication to parents on the academic progress of their children is a standard requirement of all schools.
All	All Parents	Title I Parent Meeting	District Family Liaison	Attendees will respond correctly, in a post-program survey, to 80% of the questions based on the content of the program.	Mandated
All	All Parents	*Spring Information Fair	District Family Liaison	Attendance sign-in sheets.	Providing information specific to all parents and community members is always an effective way to inform them.
All	All Parents	*Delivering subscription and web-based information and products, related to student academic achievement in school, to parents and community members	District Family Liaison	Positive feedback in end of year parent surveys at each school	Providing information specific to all parents and community members is always an effective way to inform them.

**Use an asterisk to denote new programs.*

SCHOOLWIDE COMPONENT: FAMILY AND COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT *ESEA §1114 (b)(1)(F)*

2015-2016 Family and Community Engagement Narrative

1. How will the school's family and community engagement program help to address the priority problems identified in the comprehensive needs assessment?
The events will inform parents on the importance of each priority initiative and offer methods in which they can help their child increase their academic achievement.
2. How will the school engage parents in the development of the written parent involvement policy?
The district and schools have a parent advisory committee and parents as members of the School Leadership Committee. These are contributors to all policy review and change.
3. How will the school distribute its written parent involvement policy?
The policy is distributed during the annual Back to School Night and delivered to the home of parents who do not attend the Back to School Night. The policies are also posted on the school's and district's website.
4. How will the school engage parents in the development of the school-parent compact?
The district and schools have a parent advisory committee and parents as members of the School Leadership Committee. These are contributors to all policy review and change.
5. How will the school ensure that parents receive and review the school-parent compact?
The compact is distributed during the annual Back to School Night and reviewed by the school staff. It is delivered to the home of parents who do not attend the Back to School Night. The compact is also provided on the school's website.
6. How will the school report its student achievement data to families and the community?
Individual results are distributed to parents through delivery or mail. Aggregate results are posted on the district and school websites.
7. How will the school notify families and the community if the district has not met its annual measurable achievement objectives (AMAO) for Title III?
The results will be provided to parents of ELLs and posted on the district's website.

SCHOOLWIDE COMPONENT: FAMILY AND COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT ESEA §1114 (b)(1)(F)

8. How will the school inform families and the community of the school's disaggregated assessment results?
Aggregate results are posted on the district and school websites.
9. How will the school involve families and the community in the development of the Title I Schoolwide Plan?
The Schoolwide Plan is developed using parent survey information and established through meetings of the School Leadership Committee that has parent membership on it.
10. How will the school inform families about the academic achievement of their child/children?
Individual results are distributed to parents through delivery or mail. In addition, report cards are issued four times a year, progress reports are issued four times a year, and parent conferences are held twice each school year.
11. On what specific strategies will the school use its 2015-2016 parent involvement funds?
The school will use its funds on communications to parents, activity based, information providing events, and collecting survey responses for data analysis.

**Provide a separate response for each question.*

SCHOOLWIDE: HIGHLY QUALIFIED STAFF *ESEA* §(b)(1)(E)

ESEA §1114(b)(1)(E) Strategies to attract high-quality highly qualified teachers to high-need schools.

High poverty, low-performing schools are often staffed with disproportionately high numbers of teachers who are not highly qualified. To address this disproportionality, the *ESEA* requires that all teachers of core academic subjects and instructional paraprofessionals in a schoolwide program meet the qualifications required by §1119. Student achievement increases in schools where teaching and learning have the highest priority, and students achieve at higher levels when taught by teachers who know their subject matter and are skilled in teaching it.

Strategies to Attract and Retain Highly-Qualified Staff

	Number & Percent	Description of Strategy to Retain HQ Staff
Teachers who meet the qualifications for HQT, consistent with Title II-A		
Teachers who do not meet the qualifications for HQT, consistent with Title II-A		
Instructional Paraprofessionals who meet the qualifications required by <i>ESEA</i> (education, passing score on ParaPro test)		
Paraprofessionals providing instructional assistance who do not meet the qualifications required by <i>ESEA</i> (education, passing score on ParaPro test)*		

* The district must assign these instructional paraprofessionals to non-instructional duties for 100% of their schedule, reassign them to a school in the district that does not operate a Title I schoolwide program, or terminate their employment with the district.

SCHOOLWIDE: HIGHLY QUALIFIED STAFF *ESEA §(b)(1)(E)*

Although recruiting and retaining highly qualified teachers is an on-going challenge in high poverty schools, low-performing students in these schools have a special need for excellent teachers. The schoolwide plan, therefore, must describe the strategies the school will utilize to attract and retain highly-qualified teachers.

Description of strategies to attract highly-qualified teachers to high-need schools	Individuals Responsible